Approved For Release 2001/03/04/16IA-RDP80-01601R0

poor choice STATINTL

By Crocker Snow Jr. Globe Staff

The Pentagon Papers (which now warrant the prefix 'public more than 'secret') suggest that the last few American Presidents should have listened more to the analysts from the Central Intelligence Agency about Vietnam than to their advisers in 15 State Department, Pen-Ugon and National.Security Council.

Starting from the earliest parts of the study of US involvement in Vietnam, the national intelligence estimates of the situation look reasonably sound -- especially in the revealing glare of hind-

sight.

The partial documentation of the study suggests that the intelligence community weighed in quite negatively about President Ngo Dinh Diem and his effect on South Vietnam; downplayd the domino theory; was scornful of the value of committing US ground units to a combat. role with only a limited bombing campaign underway; and ultimately helped persuade Defense Secretary Robert McNamara of the futility of Rolling Thunder, the bombing war on North Vietnam.

The 7000-page report on these events was compiled by the Defense Department and thus is more representative of Pentagon thinking on the war than, of any other Washington agency.

Yet scattered references and direct quotations from CIA estimates can be found throughout. The foresight and overall accuracy of these estimates is one of the most dramatic impressions to comprising a feed of the second of

come available.

Some of the most important intelligence judgements which are at least partially documented in the report are listed here.

o In August 1954, when President Eisenhower was first being urged to prop up the South Vietnamese several months after the French defeat by Vietnamese communists at Diénbienphu, a quoted national intelligence estimate read:

that the French and Vietnamese, even with firm support from the US and other powers, may be able to establish a strong regime in South Vietnam, we believe that the chances for this development are poor and, moreover, that the situation is more likely to continue to deteriorate progressively over the next year."

e With Ngo Dinh Diem consolidating his regime in the South during the middiffies, the Pentagon writers describe American officials in the embassy, the military and the CIA as regularly reporting on him as "authoritarian, inflexible and remote." By 1960, when the United States, for better or worse, was supporting the then President Diem as a strongman, the CIA minced no words. One intelligence report which Pentagon analysts characterize as "remarka-.bly sound" in August of 1960 read in part:

"In the absence of more effective Government measures to protect the peasnats and to win their positive cooperation, the prospect is for expansion of the areas of Viet Cong control in the countryside, particularly in the south-

full report which have be- tisfaction and discontent with the government will

probably continue to rise, crisis in Saigon a year after will almost certainly in number of contingency the Diem regime."

ning to get involved in a gions favor a further decay debunk the then conventional wisdom of the domino theory, which held that "Although it is possible if South Vietnam fell to the Communists all the rest of East Asia would inevitably too. On June 9, 1964, several months before the Tonkin Gulf incidents, the report quotes President Johnson at a general meeting about the situation asking: "Would the rest of Southeast Asia necessarily fall if Laos and South Victnam came under North Vietnamese control?"

The CIA, according to cumb."

The agency acknowledged that such a develop. April 2, 1965, according to hurt American prestige and credibility in contain-. /ing the spread of commu-√nism in the area. But the CIA said that even a clear-cut Communist victory in the South would not affect the wider American interest of containing overt attacks "as long as the United States can effectively operate from (its island) bases" in the Far East.

In October 1964, following Tonkin Gulf, at the high point of President

These adverse trends are Diem's assassination, while not irreversible, but if they the defense establishment remain unchecked, they was actively considering a time cause the collapse of plans for widening the war, the CIA was far from san-Four years later, with guine about the prospects: the United States begin- "We believe that the condibig way, the CIA is shown of GVN (Government of as one agency willing to South Vietnam) will and effectiveness. The likely pattern of this decay will be increasing defeatism, paralysis of leadership, friction with Americans, exploration of possible lines of poiltical accommodations with the other side, and a general petering-out of the war effort."

. o The following spring with the Rolling Thunder bombing of North Vietnam underway, President Johnson prepared to send two Marine battalions into the war as the Joint Chiefs

the Pentagon study, an asked Secretary McNamara swered that Cambodia to clear away "all adminis"might," but no other nast trative impediments that tion "would quickly suc- hamper us in the prosecution of this war."

Just at this time, on ment "would be profound- one of the chronologies ly damaging to the US po- contained in the Pentagon sition in the Far East" and report, CIA director suggested that it would McCone circulated a memo "dissenting from the presidential decision to have US troops take active part in . active combat.

"He feels that such action is not justified and wise unless the air attacks on the North are increased sufficiently to really be physically damaging to the DRV (Democratic Republic of North Vietnam), and to put real pressure on her." The CIA director predicted, said the report, that the United States "was get-

ung mired down in a war it

CIA-RDE80-01601R000300350106-7

with a continuing political

Costinued

could not win."